

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,683	C	02/25/2002	Kazuo Tomita	219302US2 CONT	9164	
22850	7 590	10/22/2003	·	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SI	-	MCCLELLAND, N	OWENS, DOUGLAS W			
ALEXANDI		22314	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2811		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(4)				
4		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	——————————————————————————————————————				
الم المنافقة		10/080,683 TOMITA		TOMITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Douglas W C		2811					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the c	ov rsheet with the co	orr spond nce add	ress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ep period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor vill apply and will ex , cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ion to become ABANDONEE	ely filed will be considered timely, he mailing date of this con 1 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	<u> August 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is no	n-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a				merits is				
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2 and 5</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.						
	ion Papers	_							
<i>'</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are		ad as h) Dahiaatad ta	by the Everniner					
10)🖂	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on				r.				
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
• —	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		•						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been i	received.		•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/484,066</u> .								
* (Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Ri	ıle 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti								
Attachmer	-	K	,						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO					

Application/Control Number: 10/080,683

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of group I, claims 1 - 6 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it has not been established that search and examination of inventions would be an undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because section 803 of the M.P.E.P. states, "For purposes of the initial requirement, a serious burden on the examiner may be *prima facie* shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation of separate classification, or separate status in the art, or a different field of search as defined in MPEP § 808.02." It was shown in the restriction requirement mailed on July 14, 2003 that the claimed inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. This is considered *prima facie* evidence of a serious burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 – 6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In lines 16 and 20 of claim 1, "a recess plug" should be replaced with "a plurality of recess plugs", or the term "plurality of mark holes" in lines 15, and 17 – 19 should be changed to "a mark hole". The terms, as claimed, do not agree in number since a single recess plug cannot be disposed in a plurality of mark holes. Claim 4 has the same issue with respect to "a plurality of mark holes" and "a protrusion plug".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,683

Art Unit: 2811

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. The terms "...almost as large..." and "...almost twice as large..." in claims 2 and 5 are relative terms which render the claims indefinite. The term "almost" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,683

Art Unit: 2811

DWO

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TEGHNÖLÖGY CENTER 2800